IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Criminal No.	8:13-CR-296 (GLS)
v.)		
)	Government's	Proposed Verdict Form
PATRICK LLOYD, a.k.a. "Problem,")		
"Prob," "P," and)		
MICHAEL SPENCER," a.k.a. "DON,")		
)		
Defendant.)		

The United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the United States of Attorney for the Northern District of New York, herby files its proposed verdict form.

Dated: August 3, 2015 Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD S. HARTUNIAN United States Attorney

By: /s/ Katherine Kopita

Katherine Kopita Cyrus P.W. Rieck Assistant United States Attorneys Bar Roll Nos. 517944 and 518933

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal No.	8:13-CR-296 (GLS)
	v.)	
	RICK LLOYD, a.k.a. "Problem,")))	
	Defendant.))	
	VERD	ICT FORM	
THIS	SE NOTE: YOUR VERDICT SHOU VERDICT FORM WHICH MUST B QUESTIONS MUST BE ANSWEREI	E SIGNED BY T	HE JURY FOREPERSON.
	We, the jury, unanimously find as follows	ows:	
	<u>CC</u>	OUNT 1	
(1)	Count One (1): Conspiracy to Distribute a Controlled Substance [21 U.S.C. §§		
	As for Count One (1) of the Indictment jury find?	t against defendant	Patrick Lloyd, how do you, the
	Guilty:	Not Guilty:	
	OUR VERDICT ON COUNT ONE (1) LOWING QUESTIONS:	IS GUILTY, YO	U MUST ANSWER THE
(A)(i)	Was the amount of heroin defendant Pabeing involved in the conspiracy 1 kilo	=	nably could have foreseen as
	Yes:	No:	
	If your answer is yes, proceed to (B)(i)	. If your answer is	no, proceed to (A)(ii).
(ii)	Was the amount of heroin defendant Pabeing involved in the conspiracy 100 g	•	•
	Yes:	No:	

	If your answer is yes, proceed t	to (B)(i). If your answer is no, proceed to (A)(111).	
(iii)	Was the amount of heroin defer being involved in the conspirac	endant Patrick Lloyd reasonably could have cy less than 100 grams?	foreseen as	
	Yes:	No:		
	Proceed to (B)(i).			
(B)(i)		se (crack) defendant Patrick Lloyd reasonable conspiracy 280 grams or more?	oly could have	
	Yes:	No:		
	If your answer is yes, proceed t	to (C)(i). If your answer is no, proceed to (B)(ii).	
(ii)		se (crack) defendant Patrick Lloyd reasonable conspiracy 28 grams or more but less that	•	
	Yes:	No:		
	If your answer is yes, proceed t	to (C)(i). If your answer is no, proceed to (B)(iii).	
(iii)		se (crack) defendant Patrick Lloyd reasonable conspiracy less than 28 grams?	oly could have	
	Yes:	No:		
	Proceed to (C)(i).			
(C)(i)	Was the amount of cocaine defendant Patrick Lloyd reasonably could have foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy 500 grams or more?			
	Yes:	No:		
		iberations with respect to Count 1 against d d you should proceed to Count 2. If your an		
(ii)	Was the amount of cocaine defendant Patrick Lloyd reasonably could have foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy less than 500 grams?			
	Yes:	No:		
	Proceed to Count 2			

COUNT 2

(2) Count Two (2): Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Drug Traffickin [18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)].			rm in Furtherance of a Drug Trafficking Crime
	As for Count Two (2) of the jury find?	e Indictmen	t against defendant Patrick Lloyd, how do you, the
	Guilty:		Not Guilty:
Dated:			So say we all,
			Foreperson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal No.	8:13-CR-296 (GLS)
	v.))	
MICH	IAEL SPENCER," a.k.a. "DON,")))	
	Defendant.))	
	VERD	ICT FORM	
THIS	SE NOTE: YOUR VERDICT SHOU VERDICT FORM WHICH MUST B QUESTIONS MUST BE ANSWEREI	E SIGNED BY T	HE JURY FOREPERSON.
	We, the jury, unanimously find as follows	ows:	
	<u>CC</u>	OUNT 1	
(1)	Count One (1): Conspiracy to Distrib a Controlled Substance [21 U.S.C. §§		
	As for Count One (1) of the Indictment the jury find?	t against defendant	Michael Spencer, how do you,
	Guilty:	Not Guilty:	
	OUR VERDICT ON COUNT ONE (1) LOWING QUESTIONS:	IS GUILTY, YO	U MUST ANSWER THE
(A)(i)	Was the amount of heroin defendant M being involved in the conspiracy 1 kilo	_	sonably could have foreseen as
	Yes:	No:	
	If your answer is yes, proceed to (B)(i)	. If your answer is	no, proceed to (A)(ii).
(ii)	Was the amount of heroin defendant M being involved in the conspiracy 100 g	*	•
	Yes:	No:	

If your answer is yes,	proceed to (B)(i). If	your answer is no	o, proceed to (A)(iii).
		-	nably could have foreseen as
	Yes:	No:	-
Proceed to (B)(i).			
			-
	Yes:	No:	-
If your answer is yes,	proceed to (C)(i). If	your answer is no	o, proceed to (B)(ii).
			-
	Yes:	No:	_
If your answer is yes,	proceed to (C)(i). If	your answer is no	o, proceed to (B)(iii).
			± •
	Yes:	No:	-
Proceed to (C)(i).			
		-	onably could have foreseen as
	Yes:	No:	-
		-	onably could have foreseen as
	Yes:	No:	_
	Was the amount of he being involved in the Proceed to (B)(i). Was the amount of co have foreseen as being grams? If your answer is yes, Was the amount of co have foreseen as being grams? If your answer is yes, Was the amount of co have foreseen as being grams? Proceed to (C)(i). Was the amount of co being involved in the If your answer is yes, Michael Spencer are of proceed to (C)(ii) Was the amount of co being involved in the If your answer is yes, Michael Spencer are of proceed to (C)(iii)	Was the amount of heroin defendant Mich being involved in the conspiracy less than Yes: Proceed to (B)(i). Was the amount of cocaine base (crack) do have foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy foreseen as being involved in the conspirate. If your answer is yes, proceed to (C)(i). If was the amount of cocaine base (crack) do have foreseen as being involved in the congrams? Yes: If your answer is yes, proceed to (C)(i). If was the amount of cocaine base (crack) do have foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy foreseen as being	Was the amount of cocaine base (crack) defendant Michael Shave foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy 280 grams Yes: No: Was the amount of cocaine base (crack) defendant Michael Shave foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy 28 grams grams? Yes: No: Yes: No: Was the amount of cocaine base (crack) defendant Michael Shave foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy less than 20 have foreseen as being involved in the conspiracy less than 20 Yes: No: Proceed to (C)(i). Was the amount of cocaine defendant Michael Spencer reason being involved in the conspiracy 500 grams or more? Yes: No: Yes: No: If your answer is yes, your deliberations with respect to Cou Michael Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate to Coundinate the conspiracy should proceed to Coundinate to Coundinate the conspiracy should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should proceed to Coundinate Spencer are complete and you should procee

Proceed to Count 2.

COUNT 2

Count Two (2): Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Drug Trafficking Crime [18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)].

As for Count Two (2) of the Indictment against defendant Michael Spencer, how do you, the jury find?

Guilty:______ Not Guilty:______

Foreperson

So say we all,